Case 3:23-cv-02940-AMO Document 128 Filed 03/13/24 Page 1 of 8 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 1 Melanie M. Blunschi (Bar No. 234264) melanie.blunschi@lw.com Francis J. Acott (Bar No. 331813) 3 francis.acott@lw.com 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111-6538 4 Telephone: +1.415.391.0600 5 Attorneys for Defendant James H. Herbert, II 6 [Additional Counsel on Signature Page] 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 ALEXANDRA KUSEN, on behalf of himself Case No.: 3:23-cv-02940-AMO 12 and all others similarly situated, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 13 ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING ON Plaintiff. **DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS** 14 v. *As Modified by the Court* 15 JAMES H. HERBERT, II, HAFIZE GAYE ERKAN, MICHAEL J. ROFFLER, OLGA Hon. Araceli Martínez-Olguín 16 TSOKOVA, MICHAEL D. SELFRIDGE, NEAL HOLLAND, and KPMG, LLP, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

26

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7 and the Joint Stipulation Regarding Deadlines for Filing and Responding to the Complaint entered by the Court on December 18, 2023 (Dkt. 112), Lead Plaintiff Alecta Tjänstepension Ömsesidigt ("Lead Plaintiff") and additional named plaintiff Neil Fairman (together, "Plaintiffs") and Defendants James H. Herbert, II, Michael J. Roffler, Olga Tsokova, Michael D. Selfridge, Neal Holland (collectively, the "Individual Defendants") and KPMG LLP ("KPMG," and together with the Individual Defendants, "Defendants") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 18, 2023, the Court entered an Order approving the Parties' stipulated briefing schedule for responding to the amended complaint and setting the deadline for any motion to dismiss on April 29, 2024, with the opposition brief due June 28, 2024, and the reply brief due August 12, 2024, Dkt. 112;

WHEREAS, the Court's December 18 Order further stated that "each side must file a consolidated brief in the event a motion to dismiss is filed (*i.e.*, all defendants file a single consolidated opening brief, Lead Plaintiff files one responsive brief, and all defendants file a single consolidated reply brief)," but provided that "[i]f the parties believe consolidated briefing warrants an adjustment to the default page limits set by Civil L.R. 7, the parties shall meet and confer and submit a stipulation and proposed order with their reasonable proposals for excess pages," *id.*;

WHEREAS, on February 13, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the "Complaint"), Dkt. 123, which is nearly 200 pages long and challenges 61 statements and omissions by seven different Defendants, each of whom is separately represented, *id.*; *see also* Dkt. 125 (Appendix);

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to move to dismiss the Complaint;

WHEREAS, under Local Rules 7-2 and 7-3, the default page limit for a motion to dismiss is 25 pages for the motion and opposition briefs, and 15 pages for the reply brief;

WHEREAS, due to the complexity of the allegations and the number of challenged statements in the Complaint, as well as the nature of Plaintiffs' allegations against each of the

7 8

10

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

3.

23

2425

26

2728

differently situated Defendants, Defendants believe that it would further the efficient and streamlined resolution of challenges to the Complaint to permit (a) relief from the single consolidated briefing requirement to allow two motions (*i.e.*, one consolidated motion by the five Individual Defendants who have been served and one individual motion by KPMG¹) and (b) an enlargement of the default page limits under Civil Local Rule 7 for the Individual Defendants' consolidated brief; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred and agreed, subject to the Court's approval, that (a) the Individual Defendants may file a consolidated motion to dismiss and KPMG may separately file its own motion to dismiss, (b) Plaintiffs will file a consolidated opposition to KPMG's and the Individual Defendants' motions to dismiss, and (c) the default page limits under Civil Local Rule 7 should be extended for the Individual Defendants' consolidated motion to dismiss, Plaintiffs' omnibus opposition, and the Individual Defendants' consolidated reply.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants, that:

- 1. The Individual Defendants may file one consolidated motion to dismiss, and KPMG may file a separate motion to dismiss;
- 2. The page limit for the Individual Defendants' consolidated motion to dismiss shall be 40 pages and the page limit for KPMG's motion to dismiss shall be 25 pages;
 - Plaintiffs may file an omnibus opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss, and the page limit for the omnibus opposition shall be 65 pages; and

¹ KPMG was First Republic Bank's independent auditor. The only challenged statement as to KPMG is Statement Number 58, which was KPMG's audit opinion for the year ending December 31, 2022. Dkt. No. 125, Statement No. 58. That statement was made by KPMG only, and not any of the Individual Defendants. The independent auditor in securities cases in this district regularly file a separate motion to dismiss given the auditor's separate role. *See, e.g., In re SVB Fin. Grp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 3:23-cv-01097-JD, slip op. at 1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 8, 2024), Dkt. No. 116 (order granting KPMG separate brief); Auditor PwC's Motion to Dismiss, *Mehedi v. View, Inc.*, No. 5:21-cv-06374-BLF (N.D. Cal. Oct. 6, 2022), Dkt. No. 141; Auditor PwC's Motion to Dismiss, *Hunt v. Bloom Energy Corp.*, No. 4:19-cv-02935-HSG (N.D. Cal. July 1, 2020), Dkt. No. 127.

Case 3:23-cv-02940-AMO Document 128 Filed 03/13/24 Page 4 of 8

1	4. The page limit for the Individual Defendants' consolidated reply in support of		
2	their motion to dismiss shall be 25 pages and the page limit for KPMG's reply in		
3		n to dismiss shall be 15 pages.	
4			
5	DATED: March 11, 2024	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
6	,	/s/ Melanie M. Blunschi	
7		Melanie M. Blunschi (Bar No. 234264)	
8		melanie.blunschi@lw.com Francis J. Acott (Bar No. 331813)	
9		francis.acott@lw.com 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000	
10		San Francisco, Čalifornia 94111-6538 Telephone: +1.415.391.0600	
11		Attorneys for Defendant James H. Herbert, II	
12	D. TED. M. 1.11.2024	CENTRAL LA D	
13	DATED: March 11, 2024	STEPTOE LLP	
14		/s/ Jason M. Weinstein Jason M. Weinstein (pro hac vice forthcoming)	
15		jweinstein@steptoe.com	
16		Michelle L. Levin (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) mlevin@steptoe.com	
17		Charles A. Michael (pro hac vice forthcoming) cmichael@steptoe.com	
18		1114 Avenue of the Americas	
19		New York, NY 10036 Tel: (212) 506-3900	
20		Attorneys for Defendant Michael J. Roffler	
21			
22	DATED: March 11, 2024	SHER TREMONTE LLP	
23		/s/ Theresa Trzaskoma	
24		Theresa Trzaskoma (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Erica A. Wolff (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
25		Rebecca Prager (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Wesley Erdelack (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
26		90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor	
27		New York, NY 10004 Telephone: (212) 202-2600	
28		trzaskoma@shertremonte.com ewolff@shertremonte.com	
KINSUP		CTID AND IRRODOGEDI ORDER DE	

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOT. TO DISMISS BRIEFING Case No.: 3:23-cv-02940-AMO

Case 3:23-cv-02940-AMO Document 128 Filed 03/13/24 Page 5 of 8

1		rprager@shertremonte.com
2		werdelack@shertremonte.com Attorneys for Defendant Olga Tsokova
3		
4	DATED: March 11, 2024	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
5		/s/ Carrie H. Cohen
6		Carrie H. Cohen (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Edward Imperatore (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)
7		250 West 55th Street
8		New York, New York 10109 Telephone: (212) 468-8000
9		ccohen@mofo.com
		eimperatore@mofo.com
10 11		Christin Hill (CA SBN 247522) 425 Market St.
12		San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
		Telephone: (415) 268-7000 chill@mofo.com
13		
14		Attorneys for Defendant Michael Selfridge
15	DATED: March 11, 2024	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
16	,	
17		/s/ Joshua A. Levine
18		Joshua A. Levine (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) 425 Lexington Avenue
19		New York, NY 10017
		Telephone: (212) 455-2000
20		jlevine@stblaw.com
21		Attorneys for Defendant Neal Holland
22		
23	DATED: March 11, 2024	KING & SPALDING LLP
24		/s/ Lisa R. Bugni
25		Lisa R. Bugni (SBN 323962)
26		lbugni@kslaw.com 50 California, Suite 3300
		San Francisco, CA 94111
27		Tel: (415) 318-1200
28		Richard Marooney (pro hac vice forthcoming)
KINS		STIP AND [DROPOSED] ORDER R

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOT. TO DISMISS BRIEFING Case No.: 3:23-cv-02940-AMO

Case 3:23-cv-02940-AMO Document 128 Filed 03/13/24 Page 6 of 8

	rmarooney@kslaw.com 1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor
	New York, NY 10036
	Tel: (212) 556-2100
	Kevin J. O'Brien (pro hac vice forthcoming)
	kobrien@kslaw.com 1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600
	Atlanta, GA 30309
	Tel: (404) 572-4600
	Attorneys for Defendant KPMG LLP
DATED: March 11, 2024	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP
	/s/ Jennifer L. Joost Jennifer L. Joost (Bar No. 296164)
	jjoost@ktmc.com
	Stacey M. Kaplan (Bar No. 241989)
	skaplan@ktmc.com One Sansome Street, Suite 1850
	San Francisco, CA 94104
	Tel: (415) 400-3000
	Fax: (415) 400-3001
	Sharan Nirmul (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)
	snirmul@ktmc.com
	Nathan Hasiuk (pro hac vice forthcoming)
	nhasiuk@ktmc.com
	280 King of Prussia Road
	Radnor, PA 19087
	Tel: (610) 667-7706 Fax: (610) 667-7056
	1 ax. (010) 007-7030
DATED: March 11, 2024	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER
	& GROSSMANN LLP
	/s/ Jonathan D. Uslaner
	Jonathan D. Uslaner (Bar No. 256898)
	jonathanu@blbglaw.com 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2575
	Los Angeles, CA 90067
	Tel: (310) 819-3470
	James A. Harrod (pro hac vice)
	STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE
	DATED: March 11, 2024

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOT. TO DISMISS BRIEFING Case No.: 3:23-cv-02940-AMO

Case 3:23-cv-02940-AMO Document 128 Filed 03/13/24 Page 7 of 8

jim.harrod@blbglaw.com 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 Tel: (212) 554-1400 Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Alecta Tjänstepension Ömsesidigt and additional named Plaintiff Neil Fairman and Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:		
2			
3	KPMG may file a separate motion to dismiss;		
4	The man district for the Ladierideal Defendants? consulidated maties to discribe		
5	2. The page limit for the Individual Defendants' consolidated motion to dismiss shall be 35 pages and the page limit for KPMG's motion to dismiss shall be 15 pages;		
6	3. Plaintiffs may file an omnibus opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss, and		
7			
8	4. The page limit for the Individual Defendants' consolidated reply in support of their		
9	motion to dismiss shall be 20 pages and the page limit for KPMG's reply in support of its motion to dismiss shall be 10 pages.		
10	The parties are reminded to provide courtesy copies as required by the Court's Standing		
11	Order for Civil Cases ¶ H.6.		
12			
13			
14	0 0 14 Deil =		
15	DATED: March 13, 2024 Hon. Araceli Martínez-Olguín		
16	United States District Judge		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			